IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.
CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 2980 of 2007

Johnson Smith Okolo ..Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent.

None for the applicant.

Mr U. V. Nikam, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: V. C. DAGA,J.
DATED: 26TH Sept, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. None present for the applicant even at second call. For want of prosecution, the application is liable to be dismissed. However, before dismissing the application, it is needless to mention that on the last date of hearing, the learned counsel for the applicant had undertaken to produce the passport and hand over the same before the Investigating Officer for getting it verified from the Passport Authority.

2. The learned APP submits that neither passport has been produced nor intimation is given as to when the same would be produced by the applicant. Today,when the matter is called out nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant even at second call. Under these circumstances, the application is

dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. In the event, the applicant decides to move the application for restoration, it is needless to mention that it would be obligatory on the part of the applicant to comply with undertaking leading to surrender of his passport.

4. Application is disposed of.

(V.C. DAGA,J.)